

To the theory of q -ary Steiner and other-type trades

Denis Krotov, Ivan Mogilnykh, Vladimir Potapov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics
Novosibirsk, Russia

ALCOMA 2015, March 15–20, 2015. Kloster Banz

Abstract

- We consider a rather general class of trades, which generalizes several known types of trades, including latin trades, Steiner $(k - 1, k, v)$ trades, extended 1-perfect bitrades.
- We prove a characterization of minimal (in the sense of the weight-distribution bound) trades in terms of isometric bipartite distance-regular subgraphs of the original distance-regular graph.
- As an application, we find the minimal cardinality of q -ary Steiner $(k - 1, k, v)$ bitrades and show a connection of such bitrades with dual polar subgraphs of the Grassmann graph $Gr_q(v, k)$.

Abstract

- We consider a rather general class of trades, which generalizes several known types of trades, including latin trades, Steiner $(k - 1, k, v)$ trades, extended 1-perfect bitrades.
- We prove a characterization of minimal (in the sense of the weight-distribution bound) trades in terms of isometric bipartite distance-regular subgraphs of the original distance-regular graph.
- As an application, we find the minimal cardinality of q -ary Steiner $(k - 1, k, v)$ bitrades and show a connection of such bitrades with dual polar subgraphs of the Grassmann graph $Gr_q(v, k)$.

Abstract

- We consider a rather general class of trades, which generalizes several known types of trades, including latin trades, Steiner $(k - 1, k, v)$ trades, extended 1-perfect bitrades.
- We prove a characterization of minimal (in the sense of the weight-distribution bound) trades in terms of isometric bipartite distance-regular subgraphs of the original distance-regular graph.
- As an application, we find the minimal cardinality of q -ary Steiner $(k - 1, k, v)$ bitrades and show a connection of such bitrades with dual polar subgraphs of the Grassmann graph $Gr_q(v, k)$.

- Definitions (distance-regular graphs, eigenfunctions, clique bitrade)
- Clique bitrade: equivalent definitions
- Weight-distribution lower bound.
- Minimal bitrades and generated subgraphs.
- Examples (latin bitrades, Steiner bitrades, binary 1-perfect bitrades)
- q -ary Steiner trades

Outline

- Definitions (distance-regular graphs, eigenfunctions, clique bitrade)
- Clique bitrade: equivalent definitions
- Weight-distribution lower bound.
- Minimal bitrades and generated subgraphs.
- Examples (latin bitrades, Steiner bitrades, binary 1-perfect bitrades)
- q -ary Steiner trades

Outline

- Definitions (distance-regular graphs, eigenfunctions, clique bitrade)
- Clique bitrade: equivalent definitions
- Weight-distribution lower bound.
- Minimal bitrades and generated subgraphs.
- Examples (latin bitrades, Steiner bitrades, binary 1-perfect bitrades)
- q -ary Steiner trades

Outline

- Definitions (distance-regular graphs, eigenfunctions, clique bitrade)
- Clique bitrade: equivalent definitions
- Weight-distribution lower bound.
- Minimal bitrades and generated subgraphs.
- Examples (latin bitrades, Steiner bitrades, binary 1-perfect bitrades)
- q -ary Steiner trades

Outline

- Definitions (distance-regular graphs, eigenfunctions, clique bitrade)
- Clique bitrade: equivalent definitions
- Weight-distribution lower bound.
- Minimal bitrades and generated subgraphs.
- Examples (latin bitrades, Steiner bitrades, binary 1-perfect bitrades)
- q -ary Steiner trades

Outline

- Definitions (distance-regular graphs, eigenfunctions, clique bitrade)
- Clique bitrade: equivalent definitions
- Weight-distribution lower bound.
- Minimal bitrades and generated subgraphs.
- Examples (latin bitrades, Steiner bitrades, binary 1-perfect bitrades)
- q -ary Steiner trades

Def: Distance-regular graphs

A connected graph Γ is called **distance-regular** if there are constants $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\text{diam}(\Gamma)-1}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{\text{diam}(\Gamma)}$ (called **intersection numbers**) such that for every vertices x and y at distance i

$$|\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y)| = c_i,$$

$$|\Gamma_{i+1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y)| = b_i,$$

where $\Gamma_j(x)$ denotes the set of vertices at distance j from x .

Def: eigenfunction, eigenvalues

An **eigenfunction** of a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is not constantly zero and satisfies

$$\sum_{y \in \Gamma_1(x)} f(y) = \theta f(x) \quad (1)$$

for all x from V and some constant θ , which is called an **eigenvalue** of Γ .

(k, s, m) pairs, Delsarte pairs

- Let Γ be a connected regular graph of degree k . Assume that S is a set of $(s + 1)$ -cliques in Γ such that every edge of Γ is included in exactly m cliques from S ; in this case, we will say that the pair (Γ, S) is a (k, s, m) pair.
- A clique in a distance-regular graph of degree k is called a **Delsarte clique** if it has exactly $1 - k/\theta$ elements, where θ is the minimal eigenvalue of the graph.
- A (k, s, m) pair (Γ, S) is called a **Delsarte pair** if Γ is a distance-regular graph and $s = -k/\theta$.

(k, s, m) pairs, Delsarte pairs

- Let Γ be a connected regular graph of degree k . Assume that S is a set of $(s + 1)$ -cliques in Γ such that every edge of Γ is included in exactly m cliques from S ; in this case, we will say that the pair (Γ, S) is a (k, s, m) pair.
- A clique in a distance-regular graph of degree k is called a **Delsarte clique** if it has exactly $1 - k/\theta$ elements, where θ is the minimal eigenvalue of the graph.
- A (k, s, m) pair (Γ, S) is called a **Delsarte pair** if Γ is a distance-regular graph and $s = -k/\theta$.

(k, s, m) pairs, Delsarte pairs

- Let Γ be a connected regular graph of degree k . Assume that S is a set of $(s + 1)$ -cliques in Γ such that every edge of Γ is included in exactly m cliques from S ; in this case, we will say that the pair (Γ, S) is a (k, s, m) pair.
- A clique in a distance-regular graph of degree k is called a **Delsarte clique** if it has exactly $1 - k/\theta$ elements, where θ is the minimal eigenvalue of the graph.
- A (k, s, m) pair (Γ, S) is called a **Delsarte pair** if Γ is a distance-regular graph and $s = -k/\theta$.

Def: bitrade

- Let (Γ, S) be a (k, s, m) pair. A couple (T_0, T_1) of mutually disjoint nonempty vertex sets is called an **S-bitrade**, or a **clique bitrade**, if every clique from S either intersects with each of T_0 and T_1 in exactly one vertex or does not intersect with both of them (in particular, this means that each of T_0, T_1 is an independent set in Γ).
- A set of vertices T_0 is called an **S-trade** if there is another set T_1 (known as a **mate** of T_0) such that the pair (T_0, T_1) is an S -bitrade.
- Note that there are differences in terminology.
We use “**bitrade** = (trade, trade)”
not “trade = (leg, leg)”.

Def: bitrade

- Let (Γ, S) be a (k, s, m) pair. A couple (T_0, T_1) of mutually disjoint nonempty vertex sets is called an **S -bitrade**, or a **clique bitrade**, if every clique from S either intersects with each of T_0 and T_1 in exactly one vertex or does not intersect with both of them (in particular, this means that each of T_0, T_1 is an independent set in Γ).
- A set of vertices T_0 is called an **S -trade** if there is another set T_1 (known as a **mate** of T_0) such that the pair (T_0, T_1) is an S -bitrade.
- Note that there are differences in terminology.
We use “**bitrade** = (trade, trade)”
not “trade = (leg, leg)”.

Def: bitrade

- Let (Γ, S) be a (k, s, m) pair. A couple (T_0, T_1) of mutually disjoint nonempty vertex sets is called an **S-bitrade**, or a **clique bitrade**, if every clique from S either intersects with each of T_0 and T_1 in exactly one vertex or does not intersect with both of them (in particular, this means that each of T_0, T_1 is an independent set in Γ).
- A set of vertices T_0 is called an **S-trade** if there is another set T_1 (known as a **mate** of T_0) such that the pair (T_0, T_1) is an S-bitrade.
- Note that there are differences in terminology.
We use “**bitrade** = (trade, trade)”
not “trade = (leg, leg)”.

Theorem

Let (Γ, S) be a (k, s, m) pair. Let $T = (T_0, T_1)$ be a pair of disjoint nonempty independent sets of vertices of Γ . The following assertions are equivalent.

- (a) T is an S -bitrade.*
- (b) The function*

$$f^T(\bar{x}) = \chi_{T_0}(\bar{x}) - \chi_{T_1}(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i & \text{if } \bar{x} \in T_i, i \in \{0, 1\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is an eigenfunction of Γ with eigenvalue $\theta = -k/s$.

- (c) The subgraph Γ^T of Γ generated by the vertex set $T_0 \cup T_1$ is regular with degree $-\theta = k/s$ (as T_0 and T_1 are independent sets, this subgraph is bipartite).*

Distance-regular graph $\rightarrow$ Delsarte pair

Lemma

If, under notation and hypothesis of the previous Theorem, (a)–(c) hold and, additionally, the graph Γ is distance-regular, then

- θ is the minimal eigenvalue of Γ ,
- $s + 1$ is the maximal order of a clique in Γ , and
- (Γ, S) is a Delsarte pair.

Calculating the weight distribution of an eigenfunction

Lemma

The weight distribution

$$W(x) = \left(\sum_{y \in \Gamma_0(x)} f(y), \sum_{y \in \Gamma_1(x)} f(y), \dots, \sum_{y \in \Gamma_{\text{diam}(\Gamma)}(x)} f(y) \right)$$

of an eigenfunction f of a distance-regular graph Γ is calculated as $(f(x)W_{A,\theta}^i)_{i=0}^{\text{diam}(\Gamma)}$ where the coefficients $W_{A,\theta}^i$ are derived from the intersection array $A = (b_0, \dots, c_{\text{diam}(\Gamma)})$ of Γ and the eigenvalue θ that corresponds to f .

Corollary (the weight-distribution (w.d.) bound)

An eigenfunction f of a distance-regular graph has at least $\sum_{i=0}^{\text{diam}(\Gamma)} |W_{A,\theta}^i|$ nonzeros, in notation of the Lemma.

Trades that meet the w.d. bound

Theorem

Let (Γ, S) be a (k, s, m) Delsarte pair. Let $T = (T_0, T_1)$ be a pair of disjoint nonempty independent sets of vertices of Γ . The following are equivalent.

- (a') T is an S -bitrade meeting the w.d. bound.
- (b') The function f^T is an eigenfunction of Γ meeting the w.d. bound with eigenvalue $-k/s$.
- (c') The subgraph Γ^T is a regular isometric subgraph with degree k/s .

Distance regularity of Γ^T

Theorem

Assume that, under the notation and the hypothesis of the previous Theorem, (a')–(c') hold. Then the graph Γ^T is distance-regular.

Corollary

For every distance-regular graph Γ admitting a Delsarte pair, there is a sequence $A' = (b'_0, \dots, b'_{\text{diam}(\Gamma)-1}; c'_1, \dots, c'_{\text{diam}(\Gamma)})$ such that the existence of a clique bitrade in Γ meeting the w.d. bound is equivalent to the existence of an isometric distance-regular subgraph with intersection array A' .

Clique designs

Given a Delsarte pair (Γ, S) , we define a **clique design** as a set of vertices that intersects with every clique from S in exactly one vertex. Examples of clique designs: distance-2 MDS codes (Hamming graphs), STS, SQS, ... (Johnson graphs), extended 1-perfect binary codes (halved n -cube), STS_q (Grassmann graph).

Example. Latin bitrades

- The vertex set of the **Hamming graph** $H(n, q)$ is the set $\{0, \dots, q-1\}^n$ of words of length n over the alphabet $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$. Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly one position. The graph $H(n, 2)$ is also known as the **n -cube**, or the **hypercube** of dimension n .
- The clique designs in Hamming graphs are known as the **latin hypercubes** (in coding theory, these objects are known as the **distance-2 MDS codes**), and the clique bitrades, as the **latin bitrades** ^[1]. The most studied case, which corresponds to the latin squares, is $n = 3$, see e.g. ^[2].
- The graph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(n, 2)$.

¹V. N. Potapov. Multidimensional Latin bitrades. *Sib. Math. J.*, 54(2):317–324, 2013.

²N. J. Cavenagh. The theory and application of latin bitrades: A survey. *Math. Slovaca*, 58(6):691–718, 2008.

Example. Latin bitrades

- The vertex set of the **Hamming graph** $H(n, q)$ is the set $\{0, \dots, q-1\}^n$ of words of length n over the alphabet $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$. Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly one position. The graph $H(n, 2)$ is also known as the **n -cube**, or the **hypercube** of dimension n .
- The clique designs in Hamming graphs are known as the **latin hypercubes** (in coding theory, these objects are known as the **distance-2 MDS codes**), and the clique bitrades, as the **latin bitrades** ^[1]. The most studied case, which corresponds to the latin squares, is $n = 3$, see e.g. ^[2].
- The graph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(n, 2)$.

¹V. N. Potapov. Multidimensional Latin bitrades. *Sib. Math. J.*, 54(2):317–324, 2013.

²N. J. Cavenagh. The theory and application of latin bitrades: A survey. *Math. Slovaca*, 58(6):691–718, 2008.

Example. Latin bitrades

- The vertex set of the **Hamming graph** $H(n, q)$ is the set $\{0, \dots, q-1\}^n$ of words of length n over the alphabet $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$. Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly one position. The graph $H(n, 2)$ is also known as the **n -cube**, or the **hypercube** of dimension n .
- The clique designs in Hamming graphs are known as the **latin hypercubes** (in coding theory, these objects are known as the **distance-2 MDS codes**), and the clique bitrades, as the **latin bitrades** ^[1]. The most studied case, which corresponds to the latin squares, is $n = 3$, see e.g. ^[2].
- The graph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(n, 2)$.

¹V. N. Potapov. Multidimensional Latin bitrades. *Sib. Math. J.*, 54(2):317–324, 2013.

²N. J. Cavenagh. The theory and application of latin bitrades: A survey. *Math. Slovaca*, 58(6):691–718, 2008.

Example. Steiner trades

- The vertices of the **Johnson graph** $J(n, w)$ are the binary words of length n and weight (the number of ones) w . Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly two positions. The graphs $J(n, w)$ and $J(n, n - w)$ are isomorphic, and below we assume $2w \leq n$.
- The clique designs in Johnson graphs are known as the **Steiner $S(w - 1, w, n)$ systems**, and the clique bitrades, as the **Steiner $T(w - 1, w, n)$ bitrades**. The subgraph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(w, 2)$; an example of the vertex set of such subgraph is $\{(x, \bar{x}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x, \bar{x} \in \{0, 1\}^w, \bar{x} \text{ is opposite to } x\}$. The minimal bitrade cardinality was found in [3].
- In the case $w = 3$, the minimal trade is known as the **Pasch configuration**, or the **quadrilateral**.

³H. L. Hwang. On the structure of (v, k, t) trades. *J. Stat. Plann. Inference*, 13:179–191, 1986.

Example. Steiner trades

- The vertices of the **Johnson graph** $J(n, w)$ are the binary words of length n and weight (the number of ones) w . Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly two positions. The graphs $J(n, w)$ and $J(n, n - w)$ are isomorphic, and below we assume $2w \leq n$.
- The clique designs in Johnson graphs are known as the **Steiner $S(w - 1, w, n)$ systems**, and the clique bitrades, as the **Steiner $T(w - 1, w, n)$ bitrades**. The subgraph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(w, 2)$; an example of the vertex set of such subgraph is $\{(x, \bar{x}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x, \bar{x} \in \{0, 1\}^w, \bar{x} \text{ is opposite to } x\}$. The minimal bitrade cardinality was found in [3].
- In the case $w = 3$, the minimal trade is known as the **Pasch configuration**, or the **quadrilateral**.

³H. L. Hwang. On the structure of (v, k, t) trades. *J. Stat. Plann. Inference*, 13:179–191, 1986.

Example. Steiner trades

- The vertices of the **Johnson graph** $J(n, w)$ are the binary words of length n and weight (the number of ones) w . Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly two positions. The graphs $J(n, w)$ and $J(n, n - w)$ are isomorphic, and below we assume $2w \leq n$.
- The clique designs in Johnson graphs are known as the **Steiner $S(w - 1, w, n)$ systems**, and the clique bitrades, as the **Steiner $T(w - 1, w, n)$ bitrades**. The subgraph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(w, 2)$; an example of the vertex set of such subgraph is $\{(x, \bar{x}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid x, \bar{x} \in \{0, 1\}^w, \bar{x} \text{ is opposite to } x\}$. The minimal bitrade cardinality was found in [3].
- In the case $w = 3$, the minimal trade is known as the **Pasch configuration**, or the **quadrilateral**.

³H. L. Hwang. On the structure of (v, k, t) trades. *J. Stat. Plann. Inference*, 13:179–191, 1986.

Example. Halved hypercube

- The vertices of the **halved n -cube** are the even-weight binary words of length n (i.e., a part of the bipartite n -cube). Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly two positions.
- A maximal clique is the set of binary n -words adjacent in $H(n, 2)$ to a fixed odd-weight word. The clique designs in halved n -cubes are the **extended 1-perfect codes**. Such codes exist if and only if n is a power of two.
- The minimal cardinality of a bitrade is $2^{n/2}$. An example of a minimal bitrade is $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}\}$; bitrades exist if and only if n is even. The graph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(n/2, 2)$.

Example. Halved hypercube

- The vertices of the **halved n -cube** are the even-weight binary words of length n (i.e., a part of the bipartite n -cube). Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly two positions.
- A maximal clique is the set of binary n -words adjacent in $H(n, 2)$ to a fixed odd-weight word. The clique designs in halved n -cubes are the **extended 1-perfect codes**. Such codes exist if and only if n is a power of two.
- The minimal cardinality of a bitrade is $2^{n/2}$. An example of a minimal bitrade is $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}\}$; bitrades exist if and only if n is even. The graph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(n/2, 2)$.

Example. Halved hypercube

- The vertices of the **halved n -cube** are the even-weight binary words of length n (i.e., a part of the bipartite n -cube). Two words are adjacent whenever they differ in exactly two positions.
- A maximal clique is the set of binary n -words adjacent in $H(n, 2)$ to a fixed odd-weight word. The clique designs in halved n -cubes are the **extended 1-perfect codes**. Such codes exist if and only if n is a power of two.
- The minimal cardinality of a bitrade is $2^{n/2}$. An example of a minimal bitrade is $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \{0, 1\}^{n/2}\}$; bitrades exist if and only if n is even. The graph corresponding to a minimal bitrade is $H(n/2, 2)$.

q -ary Steiner systems

- Let F_q^n be an n -dimensional vector space over the Galois field F_q of prime-power order q . The **Grassmann graph** $Gr_q(n, d)$ is defined as follows. The vertices are the d -dimensional subspaces of F_q^n . Two vertices are adjacent whenever they intersect in a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace.
- All vertices that include a fixed $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace form a clique in $Gr_q(n, d)$; if $n \geq 2d$ then this clique is maximal. We form S from all such cliques.
- A set of vertices that intersect with every cliques from S in exactly one vertex is known as a q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ system. Constructing q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ systems with $d \geq 3$ is not easy; at the moment, only the existence of $S_2[2, 3, 13]$ is known in this field [4].
- An S -bitrade is called a **Steiner $T_q[d - 1, d, n]$ bitrade**.

⁴M. Braun, T. Etzion, P. R. J. Östergård, A. Vardy, and A. Wassermann. Existence of q -analogs of Steiner systems. ArXiv: 1304.1462, 2013.

q -ary Steiner systems

- Let F_q^n be an n -dimensional vector space over the Galois field F_q of prime-power order q . The **Grassmann graph** $Gr_q(n, d)$ is defined as follows. The vertices are the d -dimensional subspaces of F_q^n . Two vertices are adjacent whenever they intersect in a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace.
- All vertices that include a fixed $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace form a clique in $Gr_q(n, d)$; if $n \geq 2d$ then this clique is maximal. We form S from all such cliques.
- A set of vertices that intersect with every cliques from S in exactly one vertex is known as a q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ system. Constructing q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ systems with $d \geq 3$ is not easy; at the moment, only the existence of $S_2[2, 3, 13]$ is known in this field [4].
- An S -bitrade is called a **Steiner $T_q[d - 1, d, n]$ bitrade**.

⁴M. Braun, T. Etzion, P. R. J. Östergård, A. Vardy, and A. Wassermann. Existence of q -analogs of Steiner systems. ArXiv: 1304.1462, 2013.

q -ary Steiner systems

- Let F_q^n be an n -dimensional vector space over the Galois field F_q of prime-power order q . The **Grassmann graph** $Gr_q(n, d)$ is defined as follows. The vertices are the d -dimensional subspaces of F_q^n . Two vertices are adjacent whenever they intersect in a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace.
- All vertices that include a fixed $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace form a clique in $Gr_q(n, d)$; if $n \geq 2d$ then this clique is maximal. We form S from all such cliques.
- A set of vertices that intersect with every cliques from S in exactly one vertex is known as a q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ system. Constructing q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ systems with $d \geq 3$ is not easy; at the moment, only the existence of $S_2[2, 3, 13]$ is known in this field [4].
- An S -bitrade is called a **Steiner $T_q[d - 1, d, n]$ bitrade**.

⁴M. Braun, T. Etzion, P. R. J. Östergård, A. Vardy, and A. Wassermann. Existence of q -analogs of Steiner systems. ArXiv: 1304.1462, 2013.

- Let F_q^n be an n -dimensional vector space over the Galois field F_q of prime-power order q . The **Grassmann graph** $Gr_q(n, d)$ is defined as follows. The vertices are the d -dimensional subspaces of F_q^n . Two vertices are adjacent whenever they intersect in a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace.
- All vertices that include a fixed $(d - 1)$ -dimensional subspace form a clique in $Gr_q(n, d)$; if $n \geq 2d$ then this clique is maximal. We form S from all such cliques.
- A set of vertices that intersect with every cliques from S in exactly one vertex is known as a q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ system. Constructing q -ary Steiner $S_q[d - 1, d, n]$ systems with $d \geq 3$ is not easy; at the moment, only the existence of $S_2[2, 3, 13]$ is known in this field [4].
- An S -bitrade is called a **Steiner $T_q[d - 1, d, n]$ bitrade**.

⁴M. Braun, T. Etzion, P. R. J. Östergård, A. Vardy, and A. Wassermann. Existence of q -analogs of Steiner systems. ArXiv: 1304.1462, 2013.

dual polar graph

- The **dual polar graph** $D_d(q)$ is a subgraph of $Gr_q(2d, d)$ that has as vertices the maximal isotropic subspaces with respect to the quadratic form $Q(v_1, \dots, v_d, u_1, \dots, u_d) = v_1 u_1 + \dots + v_d u_d$ (i.e., the subspaces of dimension d on which the form vanishes).
- $D_d(q)$ is a bipartite isometric subgraph of $Gr_q(2d, d)$ and has degree $(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ (as required :)).

dual polar graph

- The **dual polar graph** $D_d(q)$ is a subgraph of $Gr_q(2d, d)$ that has as vertices the maximal isotropic subspaces with respect to the quadratic form $Q(v_1, \dots, v_d, u_1, \dots, u_d) = v_1 u_1 + \dots + v_d u_d$ (i.e., the subspaces of dimension d on which the form vanishes).
- $D_d(q)$ is a bipartite isometric subgraph of $Gr_q(2d, d)$ and has degree $(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ (as required :)).

Minimal cardinality of a q -ary Steiner bitrade

Theorem

The minimal cardinality of a Steiner $T_q[d-1, d, n \geq 2d]$ bitrade is

$$\prod_{i=1}^d (q^{d-i} + 1) = \sum_{i=0}^d q^{\binom{i}{2}} \left[\begin{matrix} d \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q, \quad (3)$$

which is also the minimal number of nonzeros of an eigenfunction with the minimal eigenvalue in $Gr_q(n, d)$, $n \geq 2d$.

For the proof, it remains to note that $Gr_q(2d, d)$ is an isometric subgraph of $Gr_q(n, d)$.

A small example

- The minimal cardinality of $T_2[2, 3, n]$ is $2 \cdot 15 = 1 + 7 + 14 + 8$.
- Such minimal bitrade can be considered as a q -ary analog of the Pasch configuration.
- Note that the Pasch configuration, together with its trade mate, consists of all eight weight-3 binary words of length 6 on which the form $Q(\dots) = v_1 u_1 + v_2 u_2 + v_3 u_3$ vanishes.

v_1	v_2	v_3	:	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	1	1	0	0	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	1	0	0	0	1	1	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	0	1	0	1	0	1	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	0	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1																													
0	0	0																													
1	0	0																													
0	1	1																													
0	1	0																													
1	0	1																													
0	0	1																													
1	1	0																													
u_1	u_2	u_3		<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	1	1	0	0	0	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	0	1	1	1	0	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	0	1	0	1	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	1	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	1																													
0	0	0																													
0	1	1																													
1	0	0																													
1	0	1																													
0	1	0																													
1	1	0																													
0	0	1																													

A small example

- The minimal cardinality of $T_2[2, 3, n]$ is $2 \cdot 15 = 1 + 7 + 14 + 8$.
- Such minimal bitrade can be considered as a q -ary analog of the Pasch configuration.
- Note that the Pasch configuration, together with its trade mate, consists of all eight weight-3 binary words of length 6 on which the form $Q(\dots) = v_1 u_1 + v_2 u_2 + v_3 u_3$ vanishes.

v_1	v_2	v_3	:	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	1	1	0	0	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	1	0	0	0	1	1	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	0	1	0	1	0	1	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	0	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1																													
0	0	0																													
1	0	0																													
0	1	1																													
0	1	0																													
1	0	1																													
0	0	1																													
1	1	0																													
u_1	u_2	u_3		<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	1	1	0	0	0	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	0	1	1	1	0	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	0	1	0	1	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	1	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	1																													
0	0	0																													
0	1	1																													
1	0	0																													
1	0	1																													
0	1	0																													
1	1	0																													
0	0	1																													

A small example

- The minimal cardinality of $T_2[2, 3, n]$ is $2 \cdot 15 = 1 + 7 + 14 + 8$.
- Such minimal bitrade can be considered as a q -ary analog of the Pasch configuration.
- Note that the Pasch configuration, together with its trade mate, consists of all eight weight-3 binary words of length 6 on which the form $Q(\dots) = v_1 u_1 + v_2 u_2 + v_3 u_3$ vanishes.

v_1	v_2	v_3	:	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	1	1	0	0	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	1	0	0	0	1	1	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	0	1	0	1	0	1	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	0	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1																													
0	0	0																													
1	0	0																													
0	1	1																													
0	1	0																													
1	0	1																													
0	0	1																													
1	1	0																													
u_1	u_2	u_3		<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	1	1	0	0	0	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	0	1	1	1	0	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr></table>	1	0	1	0	1	0	<table><tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	1	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	1																													
0	0	0																													
0	1	1																													
1	0	0																													
1	0	1																													
0	1	0																													
1	1	0																													
0	0	1																													

Conclusion

- We considered trades that can be treated as clique trades in distance-regular graphs.
- Some other types of trades can also be considered as clique trades, but the corresponding graphs are not distance-regular. For example, q -ary 1-perfect trades with $q > 2$, MDS trades with distance > 2 , Steiner (t, k, n) trades with $t < k - 1$.